



R10 Umatilla Petition

Figures from Petition Appendices


03 18 2020



Briefing paper attached to agenda.

Slides taken from APPX

Figure 2-1
Location and Boundaries of the Lower Umatilla Basin Groundwater Management Area
Second LUBGWMA Action Plan



WHO: Food & Water Watch & 8 other entities

What: largely concerned about persistent MCL exceedance of nitrates in GW & CAFOs

LUGWMA includes 562 square miles in Northern Umatilla & Morrow Counties (communities of Echo, Stanfield, Hermiston, Umatilla, Irrigon & Boardman)

87,062 people in 2 counties.

ODEQ is lead for GWMA, designated ...

Morrow & Umatilla Co Soil and Water Conservation Districts are lead agencies for developing and implementing the Second Local Action Plan, designated by ODEQ


31,490 in LUBGWMA in 6 urban grown boundaries, plus

14,830 est in rural areas GWMA






LUBGWMA Second action plan page 12


Figure 2-3
Nitrate Leaching Potential Rating of the Lower Umatilla Basin Groundwater Management Area
Second LUBGWMA Action Plan

Legend

 City Limits

NRCS Nitrate Leaching Potential Rating

-  High (71.4%)
-  Moderately High (16.8%)
-  Moderate (8.6%)
-  Low (0.01%)
-  Not Rated (3.1%)




The map illustrates the spatial distribution of nitrate leaching potential across the Lower Umatilla Basin. The majority of the area is classified as 'High' potential (71.4%), shown in dark gray. Other areas include 'Moderately High' (16.8%, medium gray), 'Moderate' (8.6%, light gray), 'Low' (0.01%, white), and 'Not Rated' (3.1%, white). The map also shows city limits and major water bodies like the Powder River. Key locations labeled include Powder River, Army Depot, Umatilla, Hermiston, Stanfield, and Echo. A scale bar at the bottom indicates distances from 0 to 10 miles.

Morrow & Umatilla Co Soil and Water Conservation Districts are lead agencies for developing and implementing the Second Local Action Plan, designated by ODEQ>

LUBGWMA Second action plan page 17

Figure 2-5

Estimation of Nitrogen Leached to Groundwater Second LUBGWMA Action Plan




Initial Review of Petitioners Claim re: nitrates & CAFOs

Look @ SOURCES, PWs, & Private wells (w/focus on private wells/exposure)

THIS SLIDE Overview of sources Note next slide location of sources vs. well exceedances

LUBGWMA Second action plan page 29



Overview of Sampling This slide Fourth Synoptic Sampling Event Nitrates

Previous Sampling ...


198 wells in 1990/1991 (Reconnaissance Sampling)

31 – 38 wells (LUBGWMA Well Network) - selection of wells mostly being private domestic wells, sampled 4-6 times/year

85 of 255 wells Synoptic Sampling Events) -- 1992, 2003, 2009, 2015/6

-

LUBGWMA Second action plan page 35



Petitioner Concerns, incl:

"48%" (high) percentage of private residents exposed to nitrates above safe levels

Proposal by ODA to permit another CAFO in location of former Lost Valley Dairy (exacerbated problems)


30 year problem with no progress

LUBGWMA Second action plan page 74

Public and Private Drinking Water Wells that Have Exceeded the Nitrate Drinking Water Standard Lower Umatilla Basin Groundwater Management Area

Legend

- Public Water Systems (17 wells; 21% of all PWWS)
- Private Wells (12 wells; 60% of all private wells)



Notes:

Public wells include both active and inactive Public Water Systems monitored by Oregon Health Authority. Of the 161 PWWS in Clatsop and Clatsop County, 16 (10%) have exceeded the nitrate drinking water standard at least once. 17 of these wells (94%) are within the LUBGWMA. Of the 31 PWWS in the LUBGWMA, 11 (35%) have exceeded the standard at least once. Those highlighted do not have the symbol which indicates these wells are only completed in the basin aquifer; the percentage of PWWS wells with high nitrate that utilize only the shallow aquifer is likely higher than 21%.

Private wells on this map include 10 wells from the Fred Kibbe Transportation Corridor, 14 wells from the regular LUBGWMA Network wells, 7 domestic wells that were included in the 2009 Synoptic Sampling Event, and the Navy Scouting Range well. Approximately 15% of the 161 PWWS show nitrate values over the standard. Because the 1997 database is known to contain results from treated samples and treated wells, it is likely not a good indicator of the magnitude or extent of nitrate contamination. Approximately 12% of the domestic wells in the LUBGWMA network show nitrate values over the standard. Approximately 25% of the domestic wells sampled during the 2009 Synoptic Sampling Event showed nitrate values over the standard.


See Footnotes:

Legend: "Private wells **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)** of all private wells" -- **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)** wells? NEED TO CLARIFY...

Approximately 30% of domestic wells sampled during 2009 exceeded MCL

"Approximately 42% of the domestic wells in the LUBGWMA network show nitrate above the standard"

NEED more clarity on universe of domestic wells, treatment, and current unaddressed exposure to high nitrates. NOTE: 2nd Action Plan (2019) has action directed at additional outreach/funding/awareness for private wells.



e.g. of data showing nitrate exceedances in domestic drinking water wells versus other monitoring wells

Need further clarification on data, information & efforts on private drinking water wells

Universe

of exceedances

w/treatment

Assessment of unaddressed exposures.



Consideration/Process/Next
Steps ...

- OECA/OGC national perspective & interests
- **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**
- Providing written letter to Agencies following meeting
- Written response to petitioner
- Level of effort going forward/additional analysis/next milestone/exit strategy



Options/Opinions:
Role of
EPA/State/Individuals

What are public health goals?
What is GWMA currently planning
(2020 LUBGWMA Plan)?
What is regulatory authority for
private wells?

Ex. 5 DP / Ex. 7(A)